2

3

4

STIBL GUITA VAN HOW

ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

In the Matter of the Unlicensed Activity of:

No. 08F-BD071-BNK

Joseph, Steven & Associates 9452 Telephone Road, Suite 227 Ventura, California 93003

CONSENT ORDER

5

6

Petitioner.

7 8

On July 22, 2008, the Arizona Department of Financial Institutions ("Department") issued a Notice of Hearing alleging that Petitioner had violated Arizona law. Wishing to resolve this matter in licu of an administrative hearing, Petitioner does not contest the following Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and consents to the entry of the following Order.

10

11

FINDINGS OF FACT

12 13

14

1. Petitioner Joseph, Steven & Associates ("JSA") is a California entity that is not and was not at any time material herein authorized to transact business in Arizona as a collection agency within the meaning of A.R.S. §§ 32-1001, et seq. The nature of JSA's business is that of soliciting claims for collection and collection of claims owed, due or asserted to be owed or due within the meaning of A.R.S. § 32-1001(2)(a).

15 16

2. JSA is not exempt from licensure as a collection agency within the meaning of A.R.S. § 32-1004(A).

17

18

19

20

21

22

3. On September 17, 2007, the Department received a complaint from an Arizona creditor ("Creditor") who states, in part, "On 8/9/07 I received a call from someone at Joseph, Steven & Associates who said they are a collection agency. He said he could recover past due bills & money owed to businesses... He assured me he WOULD NOT DO ANYthing until the next week he would call back. The next day my customer calls me and said he would pay me but these people are harassing him!! They told him if he didn't pay them they would put a jugement [sic] on his credit report... Since I never signed anything I didn't think there "was a case." They called back and said they needed 22% to close the case which I disagreed with. Then my customer said they made a deal with him for \$1,000.00. The total amount owed is \$1800.00 I never signed anything - They are

23 24 25

26

ď,

 542-4377 ALLUKNEY GE

scarring [sic] my customer about starting a lawsuit with him."

- 4. On October 4, 2007, the Department received the following from the Creditor:
 - a. A signed statement from the Creditor, who stated, "Here are the Letters my client received. Also the check he sent them. Also the original form that was faxed to me. Notice they wanted to settle with him for \$1,000.00;"
 - b. JSA's Client Agreement dated September 8, 2007;
 - c. A letter from JSA to Creditor's client ("Debtor") that stated, in part, "The balance of your account is as listed above. Our client has authorized us to release you from all responsibilities in regard to this debt for \$1,000. The payment must be in our office on or before August 10, 2007 as this offer will no longer be recognized by our office after August 10, 2007;"
 - Collection letters from JSA dated August 24 and August 27, 2007 sent to the Debtor. The letters stated, in part, "You have chosen to ignore our attempts to resolve the outstanding debt you owe to our client despite our efforts to do so in an amicable manner. There is presently due and owing to our client a balance of \$2,124.00. Unless we receive your payment in this amount within 10 days from the date of this letter, adverse collection activity will be ordered on this account. This may include a derogatory filing on your credit record, notification of credit reporting and rating agencies, and commencement of lingation in court that can increase the amount you owe by additional litigation expenses... UNLESS YOU REMIT THE AMOUNT OWED BY THE ABOVE DEADLINE, BE PREPARED TO BE SERVED WITH A LEGAL SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT FOR A COURT APPEARANCE AS DEFENDANT IN THIS ACTION AGAINST YOU;"
 - e. A statement dated September 4, 2007 and signed by the Debtor stating, in part,
 "...when I was contacted by Joseph Steven and was harassed for 3 days the first

 day they called me 16 times the second day they did the same...they offered me 1800.00 to settle then another person called me and made another deal then a 3 person called and made another deal and so on the final price offered was \$1000.00 to be paid at western union this was negotiated within 2 days...;" and

- f. A copy of a check the Debtor sent to JSA via facsimile.
- 5. On January 8, 2008, the Department sent a letter to ISA stating that the Department had reason to believe that JSA was operating in Arizona without the benefit of a collection agency license, and gave ISA an opportunity to respond by January 29, 2008.
- 6. On February 28, 2008, Randy Chang ("Mr. Chang"), ISA's in-house attorney, replied to the Department by stating, "...[P]lease fax all the complaints to my attention... ISA has conducted a preliminary search of its system, but found no Arizona area codes... [I]n the absence of any legally recognizable licensing exemption, this is a prime facie evidence of unlicensed activity."
- 7. On March 3, 2008, the Department sent via facsimile a copy of the complaint filed by the Creditor to Mr. Chang, and provided Mr. Chang an opportunity to respond by March 25, 2008.
- 8. On March 21, 2008, the Department received an additional response from Mr. Chang who stated, in part, "Upon further investigation, we are able to locate the physical file... It appears that Joseph Steven & Associates (JSA) did collect \$500.00 from the debtor. We have instructed the accounts payable department to remit a refund check for the amount collected. JSA operates in multiple states; however, JSA associates are not authorized to collect or solicit Arizona debts...

 [W]e weren't able to locate this file in the system initially because the phone number didn't have an area code associated with it.
- Based upon the above findings, the Department issued and served upon JSA an Order to Cease and Desist; Notice of Opportunity For Hearing; Consent to Entry of Order ("Cease and Desist Order") on June 2, 2008.
- On July 1, 2008, Petitioner filed a Notice of Appeal and Request For Hearing to appeal the Cease and Desist Order.

05/08

PAGE

8

6

9

11

10

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25

26

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- Pursuant to A.R.S. Title 6 and Title 32. Chapter 9 of the Arizona Revised Statutes, the Superintendent has the authority and the duty to regulate all persons engaged in the collection agency business and with the enforcement of statutes, rules, and regulations relating to collection agencies.
 - 2. By the conduct, set forth in the Findings of Fact, JSA violated the following:
 - A.R.S. § 32-1021(A), by failing to make an original application to the ä. Department upon forms prescribed by the Superintendent before conducting collection agency activity; and
 - A.R.S. § 32-1055(A), by conducting collection agency activity in Arizona b. without having first applied for and obtained a license.
- 3. JSA is not exempt from licensure as a collection agency within the meaning of A.R.S. § 32-1004(A).
- 4. The violations, set forth above, constitute grounds for: (1) the issuance of an order pursuant to A.R.S. § 6-137 directing Petitioner to cease and desist from the violative conduct and to take the appropriate affirmative actions, within a reasonable period of time prescribed by the Superintendent, to correct the conditions resulting from the unlawful acts, practices, and transactions; (2) the imposition of a civil monetary penalty pursuant to A.R.S. § 6-132; and (3) and order or any other remedy necessary or proper for the enforcement of statutes and rules regulating collection agencies pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 6-123 and 6-131.

ORDER

- JSA shall immediately stop all collection agency activity in Arizona until such time Ĭ. as Petitioner has obtained a collection agency license from the Superintendent as prescribed by A.R.S. § 32-1021.
- JSA shall immediately pay to the Department a civil money penalty in the amount of 2. two thousand, five hundred dollars (\$2,500,00).

3

9

7

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25 26

- 3. The provisions of this Order shall be binding upon Petitioner, and resolves the Notice of Hearing, subject to compliance with the requirements of this Order. Should Petitioner fail to comply with this Order, the Superintendent shall institute further disciplinary proceedings.
- 4. The provisions of this Order shall be binding upon Petitioner, its employees, agents, and other persons participating in the conduct of the affairs of JSA.
- 5. This Order shall become effective upon service, and shall remain effective and enforceable until such time as, and except to the extent that, it shall be stayed, modified, terminated, or set aside.

SO ORDERED this 28 day of An 4457 , 2008.

Robert D. Charlton

Assistant Superintendent of Financial Institutions

alto. (6

CONSENT TO ENTRY OF ORDER

- Potitioner acknowledges that it has been served with a copy of the foregoing Findings
 of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Order in the above-referenced matter, has read the same, is aware
 of its right to an administrative hearing in this matter, and has waived the same.
- Petitioner admits the jurisdiction of the Superintendent and consents to the entry of the foregoing Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Order.
- 3. Petitioner states that no promise of any kind or nature has been made to induce it to consent to the entry of this Order, and that it has done so voluntarily.
- 4. Petitioner agrees to cease from engaging in the violative conduct set forth above in the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, including ceasing all collection agency activity in Arizona until such time as Petitioner has obtained a collection agency license from the Superintendent as prescribed by A.R.S. § 32-1021.
- 5. Petitioner acknowledges that the acceptance of this Agreement by the Superintendent is solely to settle this matter and does not preclude this Department, any other agency or officer of

ATTORNEY GENERAL OPA

1	this state or subdivision thereof from instituting other proceedings as may be appropriate now or in
2 3 4 5 6 7	the firture. 6. Randy Chang, on behalf of Joseph, Steven & Associates, represents that he is the inhouse counsel, and that, as such, has been authorized by Joseph, Steven & Associates to consent to the entry of this Order on its behalf. 7. Petitioner waives all rights to seek judicial review or otherwise to challenge or contest the validity of this Consent Order. DATED this 25th day of 400.
8.	1
10	By: Randy Chang, Esq., In-House Counsel for:
11	Joseph, Steven & Associates
12	
13	
14	Starting Starting Starting Starting
15	ORIGINAL of the foregoing filed this 28 day of <u>August</u> , 2008, in the office of:
16	li Feleria A. Rotelliui
17	Superintendent of Financial Institutions Arizona Department of Financial Institutions
18	ATTN: Susan Longo 2910 N. 44th Street, Suite 310
15	Phoenix, AZ 85018
20	COL I HISTORY SWITCH AND AND
,21	Rollins Discussification Hospings
24	1400 West Washington, Suite 101
2;	
2/	Office of the Attorney General
2: 2:	Dinoniv A7. 85007

UU1 441 4000 T4.44

26

Robert D. Charlton, Assistant Superintendent 1 Richard Fergus, Division Manager Tammy J. Seto, Senior Examiner Arizona Department of Financial Institutions 2910 N. 44th Street, Suite 310 Ā Phoenix, AZ 85018 4 AND COPY MAILED SAME DATE by Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested, to: 5 Charles Giles, Esq. THE LAW OFFICE OF CHARLES M. GILES 6 2720 E. Broadway Blvd. 7 Tucson, AZ 85716 Attorney for Petitioner 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25